IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS BATESVILLE DIVISION

LISA MURPY PLAINTIFF

ADC #760343

V. NO: 1:13CV00082 BSM/HDY

V. ROBINS et al. DEFENDANTS

PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS

The following recommended disposition has been sent to Chief United States District Judge Brian S. Miller. Any party may serve and file written objections to this recommendation. Objections should be specific and should include the factual or legal basis for the objection. If the objection is to a factual finding, specifically identify that finding and the evidence that supports your objection. An original and one copy of your objections must be received in the office of the United States District Court Clerk no later than fourteen (14) days from the date of the findings and recommendations. The copy will be furnished to the opposing party. Failure to file timely objections may result in waiver of the right to appeal questions of fact.

If you are objecting to the recommendation and also desire to submit new, different, or additional evidence, and to have a hearing for this purpose before the District Judge, you must, at the same time that you file your written objections, include the following:

- 1. Why the record made before the Magistrate Judge is inadequate.
- 2. Why the evidence proffered at the hearing before the District Judge (if such a hearing is granted) was not offered at the hearing before the Magistrate Judge.

3. The detail of any testimony desired to be introduced at the hearing before the District Judge in the form of an offer of proof, and a copy, or the original, of any documentary or other non-testimonial evidence desired to be introduced at the hearing before the District Judge.

From this submission, the District Judge will determine the necessity for an additional evidentiary hearing, either before the Magistrate Judge or before the District Judge.

Mail your objections and "Statement of Necessity" to:

Clerk, United States District Court Eastern District of Arkansas 600 West Capitol Avenue, Suite A149 Little Rock, AR 72201-3325

DISPOSITION

Plaintiff Lisa Murphy, an Arkansas Department of Correction inmate, filed a *pro se* complaint, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, on September 16, 2013. Plaintiff did not pay the \$400.00 filing and administrative fees, and her incomplete application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* was denied in an order entered on September 18, 2013 (docket entry #3). That same order directed Plaintiff to pay the \$400.00 filing and administrative fees, or file a complete application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* within 30 days, and warned her that her failure to do so would result in the recommended dismissal of her complaint. More than 30 days have passed and Plaintiff has not paid the \$400.00 filing and administrative fees, filed a new application for leave to proceed *in forma papueris*, or otherwise responded to the order. Under these circumstances, the Court concludes that Plaintiff's complaint should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee, failure to comply with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2), and failure respond to the Court's order. *See Miller v. Benson*, 51 F.3d 166, 168 (8th Cir. 1995) (District courts have inherent power to dismiss *sua sponte* a case for failure to prosecute, and exercise of that power is reviewed for abuse of

discretion).

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiff's complaint be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to pay the filing fee, failure to comply with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2), and failure to respond to the Court's order.
- 2. The Court certify that an *in forma pauperis* appeal taken from the order and judgment dismissing this action is considered frivolous and not in good faith.

DATED this 12 day of November, 2013.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

74 200